

1 PAUL V. LANKFORD, CA BAR NO. 181506
2 plankford@thelenreid.com
3 LYNN R. FIORENTINO, CA BAR NO. 226691
4 lfiorentino@thelenreid.com
5 THELEN REID & PRIEST LLP
101 Second Street, Suite 1800
San Francisco, California 94105
Telephone: (415) 371-1200
Facsimile: (415) 371-1211

6 Attorneys for Defendant
DORMAN LONG TECHNOLOGY, LIMITED

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 ROBERT CLICK and MARIE CLICK,

USDC Case No: C 06 1936 PJH

12
13 Plaintiffs,

14 vs.

15 DORMAN LONG TECHNOLOGY,
16 LIMITED et al.,

17 Defendants.

**FURTHER STIPULATION AND
~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER EXTENDING TIME
TO RESPOND TO UNVERIFIED
COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES, AND
CONTINUING CASE MANAGEMENT
CONFERENCE AND RELATED DATES**

18 This stipulation is made by and between plaintiffs Robert Click and Marie Click
19 (“plaintiffs”) and defendant Dorman Long Technology Limited (“DLT”), by and through their
20 respective attorneys of record, The Arns Law Firm and Thelen Reid & Priest, LLP.
21

22 **RECITALS**

The stipulation is based on the following facts:

- 23 1. DLT was served with plaintiffs’ Unverified Complaint for Damages and Demand
24 for Jury Trial (“the Complaint”) on or about April 27, 2006.
25 2. At the time DLT was served with the Complaint, DLT was already a party
26 defendant to plaintiffs’ first complaint, Case No. 05-03037 PJH.
27

1 3. The Court granted DLT's motion to dismiss on September 6, 2006, finding that the
2 first complaint, Case No. 05-03037 PJH, was duplicative of the Complaint in the subject action.

3 4. The parties previously stipulated that DLT had until November 17, 2006 to answer
4 the Complaint in the subject action.

5 5. The parties agree that DLT is granted a further extension up to and including
6 December 18, 2006 to answer the Complaint in the subject action.

7 6. The parties reached a tentative settlement agreement at a mediation in this matter
8 and are seeking the approval of the settlement by a workers' compensation insurance carrier in
9 order to finalize the settlement. The parties expect to obtain that approval within 30 days.

10 7. This case currently has a case management conference set for November 30, 2006
11 at 2:30 p.m.

12 8. In light of the further extension DLT has to answer the Complaint, it is stipulated
13 that the case management conference is continued to December 21, 2006 at 2:30 p.m.

14 9. The joint case management conference statement shall be due on December 14,
15 2006.

16 I hereby attest that I have on file all holograph signatures for any signatures indicated by a
17 "conformed" signature (/S/) within this e-filed document.

18 Dated: November 18, 2006

THELEN REID & PRIEST LLP

19
20 By /s/ Lynn R. Fiorentino
21 Paul V. Lankford
22 Lynn R. Fiorentino
23 Attorneys for Defendant
DORMAN LONG TECHNOLOGY LIMITED

24 Dated: November 20, 2006

THE ARNS LAW FIRM

25
26 By /s/ Morgan C. Smith
27 Morgan C. Smith
28 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
ROBERT CLICK and MARIE CLICK

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

[PROPOSED] ORDER

PURSUANT TO THE ABOVE STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 11/21/06 _____

